

# Targeted Consultation on the Revision of the EU Legislation on Blood, Tissues and Cells

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

The Commission has launched an initiative to revise the EU legislation on blood, tissues and cells (**BTC**), addressing a number of shortcomings identified in an evaluation of the legislation [published in 2019](#). The initiative aims to:

- update the legislation to provide a more flexible alignment with scientific and technological developments
- tackle the (re-)emergence of communicable diseases, including lessons learnt from the COVID-19 pandemic
- focus on the increasing commercialisation and globalisation of the sector.

This **Targeted Consultation** supplements a Public Consultation that is open in parallel on the European Commission [Have your Say portal](#). It is targeted at **organisations** (not individuals) that are **directly involved in or impacted by the fields concerned and are familiar with the current legislation** and its implementation. It will feed into the Impact Assessment process that will lead to the revision of the EU legislation on blood, tissues and cells. The scope of the impact assessment, and of this consultation, is limited to the EU legislation on blood, tissues and cells. Thus, it does not address possible changes to other EU legal frameworks, such as those for advanced therapy medicinal products, other medicinal products or medical devices, but it does explore issues at the borderlines between the blood, tissues and cells frameworks and those other regulated frameworks. If your organisation is among those targeted in this consultation, you are advised to complete **both** surveys, as questions in the Public Consultation are not repeated here or, in some cases, the topics are addressed again but explored in more depth in this survey. An external contracted study will also gather evidence and views to support the Impact Assessment.

Apart from the first section entitled 'About you', you are not obliged to answer all survey questions. You are advised to answer **only those questions for which you have experience or expertise**. Please note also that not all the shortcomings identified in the evaluation of the BTC legislation are addressed in this consultation. Some shortcomings are considered more appropriate for exploration in participatory workshops organised in the context of the external study.

## About you

---

\* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian

- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

\* Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

Sociedad Española de Fertilidad (SEF)

\* Organisation scope

- International
- Local
- National
- Regional

\* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)

- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number (if applicable)

*255 character(s) maximum*

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

525724541770-41

Which of the following best describes the work of your organisation?

- Blood collection and/or blood banking
- Plasma collection for manufacture of medicinal products
- Tissue or cell donation or banking for transplantation
- Tissue or cell donation or banking for assisted reproduction
- Transfusion of blood and blood components
- Clinical application of tissues or cells - transplantation
- Clinical application of tissues or cells - assisted reproduction
- Government oversight of blood or tissue establishments (inspection, authorisation, vigilance)
- Medical ethics
- Standards setting
- Pharmaceutical industry – plasma derived medicinal products
- Pharmaceutical industry – other BTC derived medicinal products
- Non-industrial developers of blood, tissue or cell based medicinal products
- Representation of donors of blood, tissues or cells
- Representation of patients treated with blood tissues or cells or products manufactured from them
- Government oversight of medicinal products
- Government oversight of medical devices
- Research using blood, tissues or cells
- Other field relevant to this consultation

\* Country where the organisation is based or where it has its main office

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- Afghanistan
- Djibouti
- Libya
- Saint Martin

- Åland Islands
- Albania
- Algeria
- American Samoa
- Andorra
- Angola
- Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Dominica
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka

- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda

- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States
- United States Minor Outlying Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

\* Your first name

Cuevas-Sáiz

\* Your family name

Irene

## \* Email

cuevas\_ire@gva.es

Do you wish to be informed regarding further Commission events or publications related to this topic?

- Please keep me informed regarding the BTC revision process
- Do **not** use this email address to contact me except for confirmation of my submission to this consultation

The Commission will publish all contributions to this targeted consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you.

## \* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

### **Anonymous**

The name of your organisation, the field(s) that your organisation works in, the country where your organisation is based and your contribution will be published as received. Your personal name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself.

### **Public**

Your name, the name of your organisation, the field(s) that your organisation works in, the country where your organisation is based and your contribution will be published as received. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

## SECTION A

Keeping EU technical requirements up to date with scientific and medical knowledge and practice

---

The BTC evaluation showed that, over time, many new substances of human origin being used in patients do not fall within the scope of the BTC legislation. Some fall wholly or partially under other

frameworks nationally and some are unregulated at the EU level. These substances do not meet the defined scope and definitions of the basic acts for blood and for tissues and cells. Please note that this section does not address those substances that might border or fall under other frameworks (medicinal products or medical devices). Such borderline substances are addressed below in the innovation section.

Q1 Should the scope and/or definitions of the revised legislation be drafted to include any of the following?

|  | No - exclude from the scope of BTC legislation | Include donation, procurement /collection and testing only in the BTC scope | Include all steps up to clinical use and vigilance in the BTC scope | No answer                        |
|--|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Blood used for clinical purposes other than transfusion (e.g. platelet rich plasma or serum eye drops) | <input type="radio"/>                          | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/>   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Blood, tissues or cells used for non-clinical research or teaching                                     | <input type="radio"/>                          | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/>   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Other  | <input type="radio"/>                          | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/>   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

You selected 'Other'. Please describe

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Q2 Should the legislation include in its scope substances of human origin that do not meet the definitions of blood, tissues or cells (e.g. breast milk or intestinal microbiota) but are applied to patients?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q3 If you have further comments on the extension of the BTC scope to substances not currently included (apart from substances that border other frameworks such as advanced therapy medicinal products or medical devices), please enter them here.

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Q4 The European Commission has [proposed](#) reinforcing the mandate of ECDC, including a role in routine surveillance of communicable disease test results among BTC donors in the EU. Do you have comments on this proposal?

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Si los países miembros recopilan esta información de forma adecuada, la pueden enviar de forma centralizada a la ECDC. En España, por ejemplo, el SIRHA (Sistema de Información en Reproducción Humana Asistida, del Ministerio de Sanidad) cuenta con un módulo de trazabilidad que recogería esta información de forma inmediata.

Q5 Should scope and technical quality and safety rules differ for different types of **donation settings**?

|   | Exclude from scope    | Include with lighter requirements compared to unrelated allogeneic | Include with the same requirements as allogeneic unrelated settings compared to unrelated allogeneic | No answer                        |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Autologous BTC not processed or stored (used immediately)         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>  | <input type="radio"/>  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Autologous BTC processed but not stored (used almost immediately) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>  | <input type="radio"/>  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

|   |                                  |                       |                       |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Autologous BTC stored   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Allogeneic related (family donor) BTC not stored  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Allogeneic related (family donor) BTC stored  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| BTC collected for medically assisted reproduction from a couple that are in a sexual relationship, not stored | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| BTC collected for medically assisted reproduction from a couple that are in a sexual relationship, stored     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Other   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |

Q6 Should the **processing** of BTC that are not stored be regulated regardless of the donation setting?

|   | No                    | Yes with less stringent requirements | Yes with the same requirements as for BTC processed in authorised establishments | No answer                        |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| BTC removed, processed <b>in</b> the surgical room and reappplied <b>during surgery</b> ? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>                | <input type="radio"/>  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| BTC removed, processed <b>outside</b> the surgical room and reappplied during surgery?    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>                | <input type="radio"/>  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| BTC removed, processed and reappplied <b>at the bedside</b> (non-ATMP)                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>                | <input type="radio"/>  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Gametes processed (e.g. sperm washing) for immediate use in a partner in IVF clinics?     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>                | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Other   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>                | <input type="radio"/>  | <input type="radio"/>            |

Q7 The following terms are currently defined in the basic act for **blood** (Directive 2002/98/EC). Do you consider that any of these should be revised?

- blood
- blood component
- blood product

- autologous transfusion
- blood establishment
- hospital blood bank
- serious adverse event
- serious adverse reaction
- blood component release
- deferral
- distribution
- haemovigilance
- inspection
- none

Q8 Are there additional terms related to **blood** that should be defined in a basic act ?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q9 The following terms are defined in the basic act for **tissues and cells** (Directive 2004/23/EC). Do you consider that any of these should be revised?

- cells
- tissue
- donor
- donation
- organ
- procurement
- processing
- preservation
- quarantine
- storage
- distribution
- human application
- serious adverse event
- serious adverse reaction
- tissue establishment

- allogeneic use
- autologous use
- none

Please give details of the definition(s) you think should be revised and why

*2000 character(s) maximum*

La normativa europea, en relación a los movimientos de células y tejidos, distingue entre el concepto de "distribución", por un lado, y los de "importación y exportación", por otro lado, reservando el primero a los traslados dentro de la Unión Europea, mientras que los segundos se refieren a cuando las células se remiten o se reciben de países terceros.

La libre circulación de gametos de unos países a otros de la Unión Europea, para la ulterior prestación de servicios médicos, es una manifestación de la libre prestación de servicios reconocida en los arts. 56 y siguientes del Tratado de Funcionamiento de la Unión, y como tal debe garantizarse sin que pueda resultar condicionada o restringida por la normativa local de los Estados miembros.

En este sentido, la SEF solicita que, con ocasión de la revisión de la normativa europea, se haga hincapié en este aspecto, en aras de la homogeneidad dentro de todo el territorio de la Unión, y sobre todo para permitir que los pacientes europeos puedan elegir libremente el establecimiento de tejidos donde quieren recibir su asistencia médica reproductiva, se encuentre o no su país de residencia.

Q10 Are there additional terms related to **tissues and cells** that should be defined in a basic act?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q11 Does the description and role of the **Responsible Person** in a blood or tissue establishment need to be improved?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Please explain how it should be improved

*1000 character(s) maximum*

El marco legal europeo que define los estándares de seguridad y calidad para tejidos y células referidos como la Directiva Europea de Tejidos y Células, en el Artículo 18 declara que 'El personal directamente involucrado en actividades relacionadas con la obtención, procesamiento, preservación, almacenamiento y distribución de tejidos y las células de un establecimiento de tejidos deberán estar cualificados para realizar estas tareas »(1). Los profesionales sanitarios implicados en el manejo de tejidos y células en los centros de reproducción asistida son embriólogos. Los embriólogos clínicos son profesionales de laboratorio altamente capacitados cuya función abarca la gestión de laboratorio, clínica, biobancos y sistemas de calidad. En la mayoría de los países europeos, los embriólogos están capacitados para trabajar en centros de reproducción médicamente asistida sin un itinerario educativo específico.

Q12 Do you consider that a role for **physicians** in blood or tissue establishments should be defined in a basic act?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q14 If you consider that there are **other key personnel roles** in blood and tissue establishments that should be defined in a basic act, please give details here.

*1000 character(s) maximum*

La competencia en técnicas de laboratorio que proporcionan los centros de reproducción asistida representa ahora una disciplina real que debe implementarse como una profesión oficialmente reconocida. Teniendo en cuenta el papel trascendental que desarrollan los embriólogos en los laboratorios de los establecimientos de tejidos (tanto en las unidades y clínicas de fertilidad como en los bancos de gametos y embriones) y su importancia para garantizar la calidad de la asistencia, la SEF considera que sería oportuno mencionar su participación dentro de la futura normativa, especialmente en los apartados que hagan referencia a la donación de células reproductoras y al tratamiento de estas últimas. De esta manera, se contribuiría al reconocimiento y promoción de esa profesión en los diferentes Estados Miembros y se facilitaría la homogeneización de la normativa en esta materia.

**The EU legislation includes many technical rules to be followed by blood and tissue establishments. According to the evaluation, many of these rules are currently out of date. The evaluation also concluded that the rules should be extended to include donor protection and the protection of children born from medically assisted reproduction.**

**The Commission is considering three possible options for setting and updating these technical rules:**

1. By **professionals**: the blood and tissue establishments would conduct their own risk assessments and establish rules based on the conclusions, together with professional society guidance. This process would be reviewed for approval by inspectors from the competent authority.
2. EU law would require that professionals follow the rules and guidance of named **expert bodies such as ECDC and EDQM** , in consultation with professional associations.
3. All detailed technical requirements would be described in **EU legislation** and kept up-to-date with regular amendments.

Q15 Which of the proposed policy options is most appropriate to define and update each of the following technical rules?  
 You may choose different options for different aspects.

|   | Option 1<br>Professionals        | Option 2<br>Expert<br>bodies     | Option 3<br>EU<br>legislation | Other                 | No<br>answer          |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Donor age limit rules   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Donor/donor family consent rules  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules regarding donor medical and behavioural history screening   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules for deferral/exclusion and mandatory testing for communicable diseases  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules for genetic testing of gamete donors  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules for donor protection and follow up  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Donor reimbursement/compensation rules  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Air quality requirements for processing environments  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules on storage temperatures and time limits for different BTC processed in different ways   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| BTC critical characteristics and quality control tests for release for clinical use   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Requirements for traceability systems (including coding and labelling)  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| BTC allocation rules (priority etc.) and distribution rules   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules on distribution channels (on request of health care professionals, via signed agreements with health care professionals, via internet etc.) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Requirements for serious adverse reaction and event reporting to BE/TE and assessment by BE/TEs or clinicians                                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Requirements for adverse reaction and event reporting to the authority by BE/TEs or others  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

|   |                                  |                                  |                       |                       |                       |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Rules for the follow up of patients treated with BTC or children born from medically assisted reproduction, if introduced in legislation. | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Requirements for quality management   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Requirements for contingency/ emergency plans   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Rules on the risk assessment of significant changes or innovation by BEs/TEs, if introduced   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Requirements for activity data (e.g. donations, distribution) reporting to the national competent authority                               | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Other   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Q16 If option 2, or a combination including option 2 is implemented, which rules should be defined by **ECDC**?

- Rules for donor deferral/exclusion to prevent transmission of communicable diseases
- Requirements for donor selection questionnaires in relation to communicable disease transmission risk
- Communicable diseases to be screened in donors routinely and in specific circumstances
- Communicable disease testing methods to be applied (e.g. serology, NAT etc.)
- Rules for test kit selection and validation
- Rules on confirmatory testing of initially reactive tests
- Rules for testing laboratory good practice
- Rules on reporting of positive donor testing results to competent authorities or ECDC, if required by legislation
- Rules on donor sample archiving, if required by legislation
- Requirements for validation of existing or new microbial inactivation technologies
- Rules on combining measures (donor questionnaires, testing, microbial inactivation) to achieve required safety levels of BTC
- Other

Q17 If option 2, or a combination including option 2, is implemented, which parts of **EDQM guidance** should be referenced in EU legislation?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Good Practice Guidelines (GPG) for blood (as currently) | <input type="checkbox"/> The entire EDQM tissue and cell guide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Good Practice Guidelines (GPG) for tissues and cells    | <input type="checkbox"/> The EDQM tissue and cell guide excluding Section C |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Blood component monographs                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Other specific sections in the EDQM guides         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tissue and cells component monographs                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No answer                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The entire EDQM blood guide                             |   |

Q18 What do you consider to be the appropriate role(s) of **professional and scientific associations** in the setting of technical rules for BTC?

- They should define their standards independently and those standards should be taken into account by those setting the rules for the EU
- They should be formally consulted on all rule changes by those setting the rules for the EU
- They should be represented in expert committees established to support those setting the rules for the EU
- Their standards should be considered for direct referencing in EU legislation
- Other

Q19 Can you propose an expert body that sets standards for **genetic testing** of gamete or embryo donors?

- Yes
- No

Please provide details

*500 character(s) maximum*

La SEF solicita que, en un contexto de donación de gametos internacional, disponer de un "Cribado genético básico" para donantes de gametos común en la Unión Europea aumentaría la protección de la salud de los receptores y su descendencia y aumentaría la disponibilidad de células y tejidos seguros. La SEF ha publicado un documento de consenso con otras sociedades científicas españolas. Acceso al documento: <https://sefertilidad.net/index.php?seccion=comisionEU&subSeccion=comisionEU>

Q20 Please provide details of any other expert bodies that could be considered to define technical safety and quality rules for reference in EU legislation if option 2 is implemented, describing the technical quality and safety criteria in which they are expert

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Q21 Do you have comments regarding the process (e.g. participation, transparency, consultation, evidence basis) that should be followed for updating guidance by ECDC, EDQM or other expert bodies if option 2 is adopted?

- Yes
- No

Q22 If policy option 3 is implemented, how can EU legislation be kept up to date most efficiently?

- Revised legislation is proposed by the European Commission following guidance published by expert bodies
- The European Commission establishes a series of expert scientific committees to continuously review evidence and propose changes
- The European Commission incorporates technical experts in its relevant policy team to review evidence and update legislation
- Other

Q23 Please enter here any further comments you may have on how technical safety and quality rules can be kept up to date with science, technology and epidemiology

*2000 character(s) maximum*

## SECTION B

### Improving oversight of blood, tissue and cell activities

---

The evaluation indicated that variable national approaches to oversight of blood, tissue and cell activities in Member States results in a lack of trust and create barriers to the exchange of blood, tissues and cells between Member States.

Q24 Would adding any of the following general principles in EU legislation increase confidence in oversight practice?

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Independence from the regulated sector                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate administrative capacity  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of personal conflicts of interest of inspectors at each inspection     | <input type="checkbox"/> Legal mandate of inspectors (to issue orders to cease activity, to seize documentation and/or samples, etc.) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Transparency to citizens  | <input type="checkbox"/> Other  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Skill and competence of inspectors and other authority officials |   |

Q24.2 You selected 'Competence of authority officials'. How can competence of authority officials be promoted/ensured by EU legislation?

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Specific qualification requirements                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Regular participation in international training events (e.g. PIC/S) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certification in an EU training programme                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Assessment of competence by EU auditors                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certification in a national training programme                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Participation in multi-country inspections or mutual audits         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements for regular participation in EU organised training events | <input type="checkbox"/> Other   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regular participation in national training events                      |  |

Q24.3 How can the administrative capacity (resources) of an authority be promoted /strengthened by EU legislation?

- Requirements for specific staff numbers per population size

- Assessment of the adequacy by EU auditors
- Participation in multi-country inspections or mutual audits
- Sharing of inspector resources between Member States
- Other

**The current legislation describes the key requirements for authorisation of blood and tissue establishments. The following questions explore how these might be improved in revised legislation**

Q25 Which of the following should be considered in revised legislation?

|   | Yes                              | No                    | No answer                        |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Ensure competence of BE/TEs by defining a minimum level of BE/TE activity per year for maintenance of BE/TE authorisation                           | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Evaluation of aggregated outcome data to demonstrate good quality (e.g. number of live births for an IVF centre) for renewal of BE/TE authorisation | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Required mutual acceptance of national authorisations   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Required justification for non-acceptance of authorisations by other MS   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Authorisation by a multi-country inspection team for BTC distribution outside of the Member State   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Special authorisations for import (into the EU) as currently exists for tissues and cells   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Recognition of accreditation/certification by international organisations for relevant requirements (e.g. JACIE, ISO)                               | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Other   | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |

Q26 There is a Commission hosted public platform with a compendium of authorised tissue establishments, indicating the activities for which they are authorised. Should there be one for Blood establishments too?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q27 The current legislation does not require inspection or authorisation of the following entities by competent authorities. Should this be added in revised legislation?

|                                 | Yes                   | No                    | No answer                        |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| National bone marrow registries | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

|  |                       |                       |                                  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| The international bone marrow registry (WMDA)  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Organ procurement organisations and other teams that do donor family interviewing and selection for donation after death | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Tissue and cell procurement establishments   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Donor testing laboratories – inspected and authorised for blood, not usually for T&C                                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Other critical laboratories – bacteriology, HLA, genetic testing   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Other third party critical suppliers   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Commercial BTC distributors and brokers  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Clinical outcome registries (when used for secondary purposes related to oversight)                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Blood and tissue establishments in third countries supplying the EU  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Other  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

### Q28 How should the requirements for national authorities be defined and updated?

|   | Full details in EU legislation | Guidance by EU Expert Group of authorities or its Expert sub-groups (VES, IES, Coding) | Other                 | No answer             |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Annual Vigilance reporting to the EU  | <input type="radio"/>          | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Procedures for rapid alert sharing with other Member States   | <input type="radio"/>          | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Annual donation and use reporting to the EU (if introduced in legislation)  | <input type="radio"/>          | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Procedures for inspection and for sharing inspection outcomes   | <input type="radio"/>          | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Procedures for TE/BE authorisation and sharing of authorisation information with Member States and citizens   | <input type="radio"/>          | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Procedures for authorising BTC preparation processes and sharing of process authorisation with other Member States and citizens, if introduced in legislation | <input type="radio"/>          | <input checked="" type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Other   | <input type="radio"/>          | <input type="radio"/>  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

### Q29 Should the possibility for donors or patients to report adverse outcomes or complaints directly to the competent authority be required in legislation?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q30 Please describe here any further comments you may have on improving oversight of blood, tissue and cell activities

*2000 character(s) maximum*

## SECTION C

### Supporting innovation for patient benefit

---

The BTC evaluation found that innovation was not facilitated optimally. In particular, while the tissue and cell legislation includes some requirements for preparation process authorisation, the blood legislation only specifies the required characteristics of blood components for transfusion and does not require preparation process authorisation.

#### Strengthening the authorisation of preparation processes of BTC (non-ATMP)

Q31 Do you consider that new preparation processes or clinical uses for blood, tissues or cells (non-ATMP) should require a specific authorisation ?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q32 If authorisation of preparation processes is introduced across blood, tissues and cells (non-ATMP), which of the following should apply?

|   | Fully agree           | Partially agree       | Disagree              | No answer                        |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preparation process authorisation requirements should be proportionate to risk (see <a href="#">GAPP Joint Action</a> )   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Initial authorisations should be conditional on collection and provision of clinical evidence on safety and effectiveness to a degree that is proportionate to the identified risks | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Authorisations should be required in the case of changes <u>only</u> to the mode of clinical application (non-ATMP)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Clinical outcome registries could be used as one source of evidence of a safe and effective preparation process   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Preparation process authorisation should be granted according to intended clinical application  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Authorised preparation processes should be shared and recognised between Member States  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Authorised preparation processes should be listed in a public register/compendium   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

Q33 If you consider that there are other key principles relating to preparation process authorisation that should be addressed in legislation please describe them.

*1000 character(s) maximum*

Q34 What would be your assessment of the cost and administrative burden of introducing a requirement for authorisation of new preparation processes or clinical uses for blood, tissues or cells (non-ATMP), including clinical studies proportionate to the assessed risk?

**For competent authorities**

5

### For blood and tissue establishments

5

### For clinical users

5

Q35 Please enter here any further comments you may have on preparation process authorisation

*2000 character(s) maximum*

### Defining whether, and if so which, BTC requirements should be applied to a substance /product

Member States are responsible for deciding the regulatory status of substances/products. They might classify them as blood, tissues and cells (Substances of Human Origin) or under another legal framework such as the pharmaceutical or medical device frameworks. The BTC evaluation identified that some substances/products are regulated under different frameworks (BTC, medicinal products, medical devices) in different Member States. EU level regulatory advice can be sought on whether the legislation on Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products would apply (from the Committee for Advanced Therapies) and on whether the medical device legislation would apply (from an expert group of medical device authorities). An equivalent advisory mechanism is not established in the current BTC legislative framework.

Q36 If an EU mechanism were introduced to advise on whether, and if so which, BTC requirements should apply to a substance/product, what is your view on the following statements regarding its possible role?

|   | Fully agree           | Partially agree       | Do not agree          | No answer                        |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| It should advise on whether a substance/product should be subject to all, or certain, provisions of the BTC legislation | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| It should <b>not</b> advise on the appropriate legislative framework when the BTC framework is not considered relevant  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| The criteria it would apply should be defined in BTC legislation  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| It should publish its advice  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

Q37 If such an advisory mechanism were introduced, which of the following should be included in its composition?

- Member State BTC competent authorities
- Patient representatives
- Blood and tissue establishment representatives
- Donor associations
- Health Technology Assessment bodies
- Scientific experts
- Clinical experts
- Others

Q38 If such a mechanism were introduced, who should be eligible to request advice on whether a substance/product should be subject to the BTC legislation (in part or in its entirety)?

- National BTC competent authorities
- Blood and tissue establishments
- Researchers
- Industry
- Professional associations
- Others

### Interaction between advisory mechanisms on regulatory status of substances/products

Q39 Does your organisation have experience of developing therapies that are at the borderlines with other EU regulated frameworks?

- Yes
- No

Q40 If an EU mechanism is established to advise on whether, and if so which, requirements of the BTC legislation should apply to certain substances/products, should this mechanism interact with equivalent advisory structures in other frameworks (e.g. Committee on Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products and the Medical Device Classification and Borderlines Group)?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q41 Do you or your organisation have experience of working with substances /products that are subject to provisions of more than one regulated frameworks (BTC, pharmaceutical products, medical devices)?

- Yes
- No

Q42 Do you consider that blood competent authorities should be able to authorise storage of plasma that is collected for the manufacture of medicinal products?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q43 To what extent do you consider the current blood donor selection and testing requirements appropriate for plasma collected for manufacture of plasma-derived medicinal products?

- Inappropriate
- Somewhat inappropriate
- Appropriate
- No answer

Q44 Have you experienced difficulties related to the BTC legislation when importing tissues or cells for the manufacture of ATMPs or importing manufactured ATMPs

- Yes
- No
- No answer

Q45 Have you experienced difficulties related to the BTC legislation when exporting tissues or cells for the manufacture of ATMPs, or exporting manufactured ATMPs?

- Yes
- No
- No answer

**Interplay between regulatory frameworks when more than one applies to a substance /product**

Q46 To what extent do you consider that interplay between regulated frameworks (BTC, medicinal products, medical devices) would be improved by increased co-operation between authorities in the different sectors at **Member State level**?

Q47 To what extent do you consider that interplay between regulated frameworks (BTC, medicinal products, medical devices) would be improved by increased co-operation between authorities in the different sectors at **EU level**?

Q48 If you have general comments on other topics related to innovation in the BTC sector, please enter them here

*2000 character(s) maximum*

## SECTION D

### Sufficiency of supply of blood, tissues and cells

---

Although an objective of the BTC legislation was to ensure a sustainable supply of critical blood, tissues and cells, the evaluation showed that there are dependencies on certain Member States and on third countries for certain substances, in particular plasma for the manufacture of medicinal products. In addition, it was highlighted that there is a lack of legal provisions to ensure appropriate emergency measures in the event of sudden supply interruptions. All 3 policy options under consideration include measures to monitor sufficiency of supply on a routine basis and an alert requirement in the case of sudden supply threats.

Q49 How would you rate the cost and administrative burden of implementing requirements for reporting and monitoring of activity data (e.g. donations, supply, shortages) nationally and at an EU level?

For blood and tissue establishments

5

For competent authorities

5

For hospitals/clinics that use blood, tissues and cells in patients

5

**A significant reliance of the EU on the US for its supply of plasma for medicinal product manufacture is well documented and the international exchange of haematopoietic stem cells is understood and essential for matching purposes. Significant imports of some other BTC are also reported, notably corneas and bone.**

Q50 How can the EU ensure sufficiency of BTC supply for EU patients without relying on imports from third countries?

|   | Yes                              | No                    | No answer             |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Investment in establishment equipment and staff               | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Promotional donation campaigns                                | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| More trust, collaboration and exchanges between Member States | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
|   |                                  |                       |                       |

|  |                                  |                       |                       |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| EU platforms for the exchange of BTC between Member State establishments | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| More appropriate policies for use in clinical settings                   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Reduced wastage  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Supply planning at the regional, national or EU level                    | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Provisions to allow export bans  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Other  | <input type="radio"/>            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Q51 How would you assess the burden (financial and administrative) of these measures for stakeholders and authorities?

|  | Low                   | Significant           | High                  | No answer                        |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Investment in establishment equipment and staff                          | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Promotional donation campaigns   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| More trust, collaboration and exchanges between Member States            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| EU platforms for the exchange of BTC between Member State establishments | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| More appropriate policies for use in clinical settings                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Reduced wastage  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Supply planning at the regional, national or EU level                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Provisions to allow export bans  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

Q52 If you have other comments on measures to support the achievement of BTC sufficiency, please enter them here

*2000 character(s) maximum*

Q53 How can it be ensured that BTC are allocated according to clinical need?

- Requirements for priority allocation rules at establishment level - led by clinicians
- Requirements for priority allocation rules at national level - led by clinicians
- Requirements for priority allocation rules at EU level - led by clinical expert committees
- No requirements - leave establishments collect and supply according to demand
- Other

Q54 If you have general comments on other topics related to the sufficiency of the BTC supply, please enter them here

*2000 character(s) maximum*

**General comments and supporting documents**

---

Q55 If you have general comments on other topics related to the revision of the EU legislation on blood, tissues and cells, please enter them here.

*2000 character(s) maximum*

Ver documento adjunto "General Topics"

You may upload one supporting document to your submission here.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

**eeb9ce63-4761-4116-81a3-90a2e1096ef2/GENERAL\_TOPICS.docx**

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTION!**

## Contact

[Contact Form](#)

